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**Chapter 1**

**Overview of the Project**

**1.1. Project Goals**

Students’ learning strategies are thoughts, behaviors, or beliefs that facilitate the acquisition, understanding, or transfer of new knowledge and skills. Learning strategies range from being as basic as re-reading the material to being as complex as synthesizing knowledge. In this Broadening Participation Research (BPR) Project, we propose to examine the effectiveness of integrating learning-strategy instruction within five gate-keeper math courses (MATH103-College Algebra I; MATH104-College Algebra II; MATH131-Calculus I; MATH132-Calculus II; MATH224-Intro to probability & Statistics) in fostering math growth mindset and SRL in URM students and improving students’ performance in these courses. The project explores and employs innovative ways to seamlessly integrate different types of learning-strategies (cognitive, metacognitive and management strategies) instruction within gate-keeper math courses via presentation of course material, class discussions, assignments, and assessments. The theoretical model of this BPR project hypothesizes that the integration of learning-strategy instruction will have a direct effect on students’ performance in gate-keeper math courses as well as an indirect effect through sparkling growth mindset and self-regulatory aptitude in the math classroom. It also hypothesizes a bidirectional relationship between math growth mindset and SRL, where the students’ belief that they can improve (thinking in a math growth mindset framework) is needed for making adaptive adjustments to their learning processes (practicing SRL), and through SRL students recognize that they can improve their task performance which changes their math mindsets and makes them believe that they can learn at higher levels.

Learn more about the Math Learning Strategy Project through the project’s website *here*.

**1.2. Funding Source**

The National Science Foundation (NSF) Historically Black Colleges and Universities Undergraduate Program (HBCU-UP) through Broadening Participation Research (BPR) in STEM Education projects supports the development, implementation, and study of new theory-driven models and innovations related to the participation and success of underrepresented groups in STEM undergraduate education.

This project is supported by a grant from the NSF HBCU-UP BPR Projects Program under Grant No. HRD 2107285.

**1.3. Project Team**

The project team is composed of well-versed STEM education researchers, social science researchers, and mathematics and statistics faculty. The following is a description of the coordination of project activities among the team members.

Dr. Sayed Mostafa (PI and project coordinator), assistant professor of Statistics and course coordinator of MATH224, is responsible for overall project management, including concept development, project design, project delivery methods, oversight of project components, communications with external evaluator, institutional and agency personnel. He will work closely with the senior personnel, Dr. Tamer Elbayoumi, assistant professor of Statistics, on implementing project activities in the MATH224 course, survey data collection, and statistical analyses of survey and students’ performance data.

Dr. Guoqing Tang (Co-PI), professor and chair of the Mathematics & Statistics Department, will manage the engagement of faculty and graduate research assistants and the scheduling of course sections included in the study.

Dr. Katrina Nelson (Co-PI), teaching associate professor of Mathematics, is responsible for Math103/104 control and treatment group activities, focus group study participant recruitment and student performance data collection, and training and coordination of other MATH103/ 104 control and treatment section instructors. She will work closely with two senior personnel to implement project activities in the college algebra courses: Dr. Kathy Cousins-Cooper, professor of Mathematics and MATH103/104 course coordinator and Dr. Nicholas Luke, associate professor of Mathematics.

Dr. Ling Xu (Co-PI), assistant professor of Mathematics, is responsible for MATH131/132 control and treatment group activities, focus group study participant recruitment and student performance data collection, and training and coordination of other MATH131/132 control and treatment section instructors. She will work closely with three senior personnel to implement project activities in the calculus courses: Dr. Paramanathan Varatharajah, associate professor of Mathematics and course coordinator of MATH131/132, Dr. Shea Burns, associate professor of Mathematics and Dr. Barbara Tankersley, associate professor of Mathematics.

Dr. Kalynda Smith (Co-PI), assistant professor of Psychology, is responsible for survey instrument and focus group protocol design and research methodologies implementation and refinement, and student focus group session facilitation, transcription and analysis.

Dr. Monique Matelski (External Evaluator), Director of Research & Evaluation, at Cobblestone Applied Research & Evaluation, Inc, is responsible for project evaluation and assessment.

**Chapter 2**

**Introduction to Learning Strategies**

This chapter will introduce the reader to the main types of learning strategies and the importance of using these learning strategies when learning math and gives the reader a brief description of the specific learning strategies explored in the math learning strategy-instruction project.

**2.1. Importance of Learning Strategies for Learning Math**

The use of learning strategies has been repeatedly shown to be positively correlated with academic performance (e.g., Weinstein et al., 2000). However, many surveys indicated that students at all educational levels often use ineffective learning strategies (McDaniel & Einstein, 2020). Therefore, learning-strategy training was suggested to be included in students educational experience through learning-strategy instruction. The positive impacts of learning-strategy instruction on students’ performance in the domains of mathematics, science, reading and writing have been reported in several studies (see the meta-analysis by Donker et al. (2014) and references therein).

**2.2. Types of Learning Strategies**

Pressley et al. (1989) define learning strategies as “processes (or sequences of processes that, when matched to the requirements of tasks, facilitate performance”. There are numerous learning strategies that have been categorized according to various taxonomies. Donker et al.’s (2014) categorization classifies learning strategies into three main categories:

* Cognitive strategies,
* Metacognitive strategies, and
* Management strategies.

**2.2.1. Cognitive Learning Strategies**

**Cognitive strategies** are domain- or task-specific as they refer to students’ interaction with the material to be learned. Students use these strategies to increase their understanding of the material by changing or organizing the material either physically or mentally. Examples of cognitive strategies include elaborating prior knowledge, taking notes, grouping, making inferences, and using images to help understand material or solve problems. There are three sub-categories of cognitive strategies:

* Rehearsal strategies,
* Elaboration strategies, and
* Organization strategies.

An example of the ***rehearsal strategy*** in the mathematics domain is ***finding similarities*** between new problems and the ones solved earlier.

***Summarizing and paraphrasing*** are examples of the ***elaboration strategy*** which helps students store information into their long-term memory by building internal connections between the items to be learned and the existing knowledge.

***Establishing and visualizing connections*** among different parts of the material is an example of the ***organization strategy***.

In their meta-analysis of learning strategies, Donker et al. (2014) found elaboration strategies to be the most effective type of cognitive strategies for mathematics.

**2.2.2. Metacognitive Learning Strategies**

**Metacognitive strategies** are higher order strategies that regulate students’ cognition by activating relevant cognitive approaches. A distinction can be made between three sub-categories of metacognitive strategies: planning, monitoring and evaluation (Schraw & Dennison, 1994). An example of using planning strategies is when students set their learning goals before they start studying. Monitoring strategies are used to continuously assess the students’ learning and includes the subprocesses of self-testing and adaptation of the learning approach. Evaluation strategies are used for the evaluation of one’s performance and the effectiveness of chosen learning methods.

**2.2.3. Management Strategies**

Lastly, management strategies, also known as social/affective strategies, are used to manage the contextual features that influence learning (Pintrich, 2000). This category of strategies consists of three subcategories: management of effort, management of peers, and management of the environment. Effort-management refers to strategies that reflect the commitment to completing one’s study goals despite difficulties or distractions and it represents a form of actively motivating oneself to persist in studying (Pintrich et al., 1991).

**2.3. Learning Strategies Used in the Project**

1. **Elaboration**

Elaboration strategies can be quite effective for learning math as they help students form internal connections between existing knowledge and new material. Instructors can train students to use elaboration strategies by encouraging student explanation, sense making and justification using class discussions and discussion board assignments. Such discussions allow students to form a math growth mindset (e.g., Sun, 2015, p.37) and directly connect to the self-reflection phase of Zimmerman’s SRL model (Zimmerman, 2000).

1. **Self-testing and Adaptation of Learning Approach**

Self-testing and adaption of learning approach strategies are two metacognitive strategies that connect with both math growth mindset and SRL. By frequently encouraging self-testing and allowing for multiple attempts, instructors can help students develop a math growth mindset (e.g, Blackwell et al., 2007; Sun, 2015) and allow them to practice self-monitoring (the performance phase of SRL). Presenting mathematical tasks that allow for multiple solutions sends growth mindset messages and motivates students to adjust their learning strategies for better task performance (the self-reflection phase of SRL).

1. **Effort Management**

Instructors who frequently make effort attributions about math tasks encourage students to practice using effort management strategies (forethought phase of SRL) and promotes math growth mindset.
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**Chapter 3**

**Learning-Strategy Instruction in Math Courses**

**3.1. Methods of Integrating Learning-Strategy Instruction in Math Courses**

It is crucial that learning-strategy instruction be integrated inherently within the course, as opposed to being provided independently from the course material to avoid faculty and students perceiving such learning-strategy intervention as additional burden added to the course, and to ensure that learning strategies (and, consequently, math growth mindset and SRL) are brought front and center in students’ thinking as they work in the course. The literature suggests that information processing regularities and patterns of strategic action that occur within the context of regular classroom curricula are most impactful for developing self-regulatory aptitude for classroom learning. Moreover, perceived math instructor’s growth mindset fosters beliefs that students can pursue their valued goals, and these in turn foster interest and engagement in math.

**3.1.1. Discussion Board Posts/Reflections and Class Discussions**

**Discussion Board #1: “Self-Introductions”**

Due: Sunday at 11:59 PM EST

For your first discussion board assignment:

* 1. Download the "YouTube" App to your phone
  2. Create a YouTube account using your ncat email.
  3. Add your video (https://youtu.be/eaINcj1lE7U) response to the following prompts:
     1. Tell us your name, and if you have a nickname, let us know what you like to go by.
     2. Tell us what your major is or what area of study you are interested in pursuing and your classification (freshman, senior, etc.).
     3. If you feel comfortable, tell us a little about where you are from and any hobbies that you enjoy.
     4. Prior to starting this course, how much you know about: *For Example [****Instructors******adjust for their course****]: (i) solving equations; (ii) functions; (iii) systems of linear equations; and (iv) trigonometry identities.*
     5. Why you are taking MATH**###**
     6. Tell us what you hope to get out of this class, and how you hope it will help you in your future courses.
     7. Anything else you would like to share!
  4. ALSO, upload your photo ... [How to insert a Picture into Blackboard Discussion Board](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=At9ToSRvW-8)
     1. Upload your picture into BlackBoard
     2. Go to the top right corner of your BlackBoard screen where your name is printed.
     3. Click the "down arrow"
     4. Click "Settings"
     5. Click "Personal Information"
     6. Click "Personalize My Setting"
     7. Upload picture

Once you have created your post, respond to at least two of your classmates (in the comment section at the bottom of the video). See if there are others who have the same interests as you or who have posted something that you find interesting. Let's all talk a little as we get started!

**Discussion Board #2: What do top students do differently?**

Due: Sunday at 11:59 PM EST

You are required to post your own response and critique one other response(s). Please make your initial post by Friday at 11:59 PM EST, and then your 1 critique response to other student’s postings by DATE at 11:59 PM EST.

For this week’s discussion:

* 1. Watch this video about What Do Top Students Do Differently: <https://youtu.be/Na8m4GPqA30>
  2. After watching the video, create a post reflecting on the video and include the following details:
     1. What must you do before you work on any practice tests to guarantee success in this course?
     2. What are the important qualities in creating a practice test?
     3. How can you predict your grade on a test?
     4. Personal Worksheet: Rank the following strategies according to how important you think they will be to your success. Begin by arranging the numbers from the most important first and least important last:
        1. I will master all the topics on my topics list before I work on any practice tests.
        2. I will make a special effort to learn to solve problems on a test when they may appear in random order.
        3. I will create and take a practice test that has the same form as the actual test that I am preparing to take. I will check my solutions to the practice test.
        4. I will spend the last few hours of my test preparation eliminating my last few weaknesses.
        5. I plan to walk into every test feeling that I have no weaknesses among the eligible topics.

**Class Discussion #2: Effort Management on MATH Problems**

**Target Learning Strategy: Adaptation of Learning Approach – Metacognitive**

Instructors will communicate the importance of effort management when solving math problems through presenting multiple ways to solve math problems and discussing the pros and cons of the different ways/strategies. For **example**, instructor will present a math problem that can be solved in multiple ways (e.g., solving a quadratic equation), discuss the different pathways and strategies through solving the problem, and ask following discussion questions: “why would you choose one way over the others? How do the different ways work?”. After discussing the pros and cons of the different ways of solving the problem, instructor will discuss general Step-by-Step Rubric for How to Effectively/Efficiently Solve MATH Problems (see Table 1). Hard copies of the rubric will be passed to students in class by instructor and posted in Bb.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 1. Mathematics Problem Solving Scoring Guide.** | | | | |
|  | **Emerging** | **Developing** | **Proficiency** | **Exemplary** |
| **Strategies and Reasoning** | 1. Your strategies were not appropriate for the problem. 2. You didn’t seem to know where to begin 3. Your reasoning did not support your work. 4. There was no apparent relationship between your representations and the task. 5. There was no apparent logic to your solution. 6. Your approach to the problem would not lead to a correct solution. | 1. You used an over simplified approach to the problem. 2. You offered little or not explanation of your strategies. 3. Some of your representations accurately depicted aspects of the problem. 4. You sometimes made leaps in your logic that were hard to follow. 5. Your process led to a partially complete solution. | 1. You chose appropriate, efficient strategies for solving the problem. 2. You justified each step of your work. 3. Your representation(s) fit the task. 4. The logic of your solution was apparent. 5. Your process would lead to a complete, correct solution of the problem. | 1. You chose innovative and insightful strategies for solving the problem. 2. Your proved that your solution was correct and that your approach was valid. 3. Your provided examples and/or counterexamples to support your solution. 4. You used a sophisticated approach to solve the problem. |
| **Computation and Execution** | 1. Errors in computation were serious enough to flaw your solution. 2. Your mathematical representations were inaccurate. 3. You labeled incorrectly. 4. Your solution was incorrect. 5. You gave no evidence of how you arrived at your answer. | 1. You made minor computation errors. 2. Your representations were essentially correct but not accurately or completely labeled. 3. Your inefficient choice of procedures impeded your success. 4. The evidence for your solution was inconsistent or unclear. | 1. Your computations were essentially accurate. 2. All visual representations were complete and accurate. 3. Your solution was essentially correct. 4. Your work clearly supported your solution. | 1. All aspects of your solution were completely accurate 2. You used multiple representations for verifying your solution. 3. You showed multiple ways to compute your answer. |

**Discussion Board #3: Learning Strategies**

Due: Sunday at 11:59 PM EST

You are required to post your own response and critique one other response. Please make your initial post by Friday at 11:59 PM EST, and then your 1 critique response to other student’s postings by Sunday at 11:59 PM EST.   
   
For this week’s discussion: One way to improve your math grades is to become an effective MATH learner.  Therefore, the focus of this discussion assignment is to introduce you to some effective strategies for learning MATH and any other subject in general.

1. Watch this video about the Study Cycle steps (preview, attend, review, study, and check) and strategies: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ppPIYbe3D68>

2. After watching the video, you need to create a post reflecting on the video and including the following details:

1. Which, if any, of the learning strategies discussed in the video do you use when you study MATH?
2. Which, if any, of the learning strategies discussed in the video do you plan to start using when you study MATH? Why?

3. For responses, you can just use the reply button.

4. Initial posting and critique of other posting(s) should be on different dates.

**Class Discussion #3: Learning Strategies**

**Part 1: Target Learning Strategy: Elaboration – Cognitive**

On **Day 1** during the week following discussion board, instructor will have in-class discussion about elaboration as an important MATH learning strategy by having students elaborate on certain course topic/problem. For **example**, after covering certain topic/problem, instructor can make the prompt: Let’s elaborate on topic/problem “A” that we covered today. Describe

1. what you already knew about this topic/problem prior to our class discussion;
2. the experiences you have had that might be related to this topic/problem, and;
3. how this topic relates to other course topics?

**Part 2: Target Learning Strategy: Self-Testing – Metacognitive**

On **Day 2** during the week following discussion board, students will be given time to go through a short self-test about the topic that was covered in the lecture or earlier in the week. For **example**, instructor can display two or three knowledge check questions on screen and allow students time to think and answer the questions, then discuss the answers. Instructors then point to the availability of “Quiz Me” and “Practice” options in Pearson that students can use to go through this process of self-testing on their own as they study the course material.

**Discussion Board #4: Time Management**

Due: Sunday at 11:59 PM EST  
  
For this week’s discussion: Math knowledge is essential to your success in any major. Therefore, to succeed in your major and obtain a better career for yourself, one of your top priorities should be making time to study math. In general, students need to study between 8 and 10 hours a week to get an A or a B. The following are some general suggestions for making efficient use of your math study time [Source: Nolting, P. D. (2010). Math Study Skills Workbook, 4th Ed. Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning]:

1. Set up a study time to review your notes or do your homework as soon as possible after math class
2. Leave a 1-hour opening in your schedule right after math class.
3. Go to the math lab or Learning Resource Center right after math class and/or right before math class.
4. Study math right when you get home, when you are alert.
5. Review your problems right before you go to bed the night before your test (without watching TV or playing video games).
6. Find the best time to study math according to your personal biological clock (morning, noon, early afternoon, night).

**1. Take Time Management Quiz**: To assess how you are currently doing in terms of study time management, complete the short study time management quiz. After answering all questions, click the "calculate" button in the page to calculate your score. Please note that scores between 1 to 3 are considered poor time management; 4 to 6 are average time management; and 7 to 10 are effective time management.

[Time Management Quiz](https://ucc.vt.edu/academic_support/online_study_skills_workshops/Copy_1_of_Time_Management_Quiz.html)

**2. Make a post reflecting on your quiz results**:

1. What was your score on the time management quiz? Does your score indicate that you are making effective use of your study time? Write about the system (or lack of system) that you presently use to decide what you will do each day.
2. What new time management techniques would you consider using to make better use of your study time?
3. What do you do when you don’t want to study? How do you get started?

**3. Create your weekly study schedule:**

i. Edit the template below to create your own weekly study schedule.

ii. Upload your study schedule to this discussion board (please note that your study schedule will NOT be visible to other students).

* [study\_schedule\_template.docx](https://blackboard.ncat.edu/webapps/blackboard/content/listContentEditable.jsp?content_id=_5031215_1&course_id=_3564678_1) (see Section 3.1.4)

**Class Discussion #4: Time Management**

**Target Learning Strategy: Effort Management – Management**

At the beginning of class, ask students to “pass in their assignment”. And then follow up with these questions:

* + - Do important actions leak through your hands like water?
    - Do you sometimes give a half-hearted effort on important tasks or finish them late or not do them at all?

It’s no easy matter getting everything done, especially if you’re adding college to an already demanding life. BUT there are proven tools that can help you work more effectively and efficiently. These are called time management tools:

* + - Develop a schedule
    - Determine what grade you want to make and write it on the schedule. It should be an A, B or C. Do not put down D, F or W because these grades mean you will not complete the course. The grade you select is now your GOAL.

**Discussion Board #5: Test Taking Strategies**

Due: Sunday at 11:59 PM EST

You are required to post your own response and critique one other response(s). Please make your initial post by Friday at 11:59 PM EST, and then your 1 critique response to other student’s postings by Sunday at 11:59 PM EST.

For this week’s discussion:

1. **Read the following tips on studying for a Math test**:

1. Avoid studying at the last minute. Set aside time to study for the exam a little everyday over an extended period of time. This is the best technique for memorization and recall.
2. Review lecture notes, homework assignments, and old quizzes. Similar problems often re-appear on the test. Go over each section in your Math book and work through sample problems to refresh your memory.
3. Memorize the specific steps, formulas, and techniques you will need to master the material you learned in class.
4. Form a study group. One of the best ways to study Math is to explain the rules and concepts to other students.
5. Recreate the test taking environment. Complete a timed practice exam in a quiet place using only the same resources permitted for the actual test.
6. Attend your instructor’s exam review.
7. Meet with your instructor, TA, and/or tutor to ask last minute questions and review any difficult concepts.

2. **Watch this video about Math test-taking strategies**:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2SkO7BLo4aw>

3. **Create a post including the following details**:

1. List three reasons why only attending class and doing your homework may not be enough to pass your math course.
2. List and explain three general “pretest” rules that best apply to you.

4. **Post a critique of at least one other post**.

**Class Discussion #5: Test Taking Strategies**

**Target Learning Strategy: Effort Management – Management**

Instructors can conclude the review session by the following class discussion or similar. Suppose it is the day of the test and you have concluded all of your test preparation. Is there anything more you can do to attain the highest possible grade? The answer is YES!

* + - When should you arrive for a test? (ans: Arrive early)
    - What should you do as soon as you receive the test? (ans: Read the directions carefully. Look through the test quickly to estimate how much time to allot each question).
    - Which questions should you answer first (if you allow students to “go back to previous questions” in MyLab Math or BlackBoard)? (ans: The easiest questions)
    - What should you do if you are unsure of your answer? (ans: Rethink the answer. The first answer is not always automatically correct)
    - What should you do if you finish early? (ans: Check your answers)

**3.1.2. Study Plans and Self-Assessments**

Pearson has tools for students that focus on Study Plans and Self-Assessments. Instructors can introduce these tools to the students in class. Although this class discussion can be given easily at the beginning of the course, delivering this information a week before each test might have more impact once students plainly see the results from their test or quiz.

* 1. In Pearson’s MyLab Math the instructor can assign a   
     Companion Study Plan Study Plan for a Module Test
  2. In Pearson’s MyLab Math the student can:
     1. View Video Resource Library, make your selection(s) below:
        + Choose a Chapter:
        + Section:
        + Media Type:
        + Activity
        + Animation
        + Chapter Test Prep Videos
        + Essential Video
        + Guided Visualizations
        + Interactive Figure
        + Multimedia Textbook
        + PowerPoint
        + Video
     2. Study Plan
        + Practice
        + Quiz Me - (will show up in their Student Gradebook)

**3.1.3. Study Calendars**

In one of the discussion board assignments, students will be provided with the below sample weekly study schedule, and they will be asked to customize the schedule to build their own study schedules which they will submit as part of the discussion board deliverables. Instructors will frequently remind students to follow the study schedules that they built at the beginning of semester. This will help students develop time management skills that are essential for success in math classes and college in general.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 2. Sample weekly study schedule.** | | | | | | | |
| **Time** | **Monday** | **Tuesday** | **Wednesday** | **Thursday** | **Friday** | **Saturday** | **Sunday** |
| 7:00am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:30am | Breakfast | Breakfast | Breakfast | Breakfast | Breakfast |  |  |
| 8:00am |  | PHYS201 |  | PHYS201 |  |  |  |
| 8:30am |  | PHYS201 |  | PHYS201 |  |  |  |
| 9:00am | MATH101 | PHYS201 | MATH101 | PHYS201 | MATH101 | Do PHYS201 HW |  |
| 9:30am | MATH101 |  | MATH101 |  | MATH101 | Study ENG103 |  |
| 10:00am |  | Gym |  | Gym |  | Do ENG103 HW |  |
| 10:30am | Study PHYS201 | Gym | Study PHYS20 | Gym | Study PHYS201 | Study HIST120 |  |
| 11:00am | Study PHYS201 | Gym | Do PHYS201 HW | Gym | Study PHYS201 | Study  HIST120 |  |
| 11:30am |  |  |  |  |  | Do  HIST120 HW |  |
| noon | Lunch | Lunch | Lunch | Lunch | Lunch |  |  |
| 12:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:00pm | HIST120 |  | HIST120 |  | HIST120 | Work |  |
| 1:30pm | HIST120 |  | HIST120 |  | HIST120 | Work |  |
| 2:00pm |  | ENG103 |  | ENG103 |  | Work | Study MATH101 |
| 2:30pm | Study MATH101 | ENG103 | Study MATH101 | ENG103 | Study MATH101 | Work | Study MATH101 |
| 3:00pm | Study MATH101 | ENG103 | Study MATH101 | ENG103 | Study MATH101 | Work | Study MATH101 |
| 3:30pm | Do MATH101 HW |  | Do MATH101 HW |  | Do MATH101 HW | Work | Study  ENG103 |
| 4:00pm | Do MATH101 HW |  | Do MATH101 HW | LAB | Do MATH101 HW | Work | Study  ENG103 |
| 4:30pm |  | Study MATH101 |  | LAB |  | Work | Study  ENG103 |
| 5:00pm | Gym | Study MATH101 |  | LAB |  |  |  |
| 5:30pm | Gym | Study MATH101 |  | LAB |  |  |  |
| 6:00pm | Gym | Study MATH101 |  | LAB |  |  | Club |
| 6:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  | Club |
| 7:00pm | Dinner | Dinner | Dinner | Dinner | Dinner |  | Club |
| 7:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00pm | Study | Study | Study | Study | Study |  | Study |
| 8:30pm | Study | Study | Study | Study | Study |  | Study |
| 9:00pm | Study | Study | Study | Study | Study |  | Study |
| 9:30pm | Study | Study | Study | Study | Study |  | Study |
| 10:00pm | Study | Study | Study | Study | Study |  | Study |
| 10:30pm | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep |
| 11:00pm | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep |
| 11:30pm | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep |
| Midnight | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep | Sleep |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 3. Blank weekly study schedule for students to fill out to develop their own study schedules.** | | | | | | | |
| **Time** | **Monday** | **Tuesday** | **Wednesday** | **Thursday** | **Friday** | **Saturday** | **Sunday** |
| 7:00am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:30am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:30am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:00am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:30am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:30am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:30am |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:00pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:00pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:00pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5:00pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6:00pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:00pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:30pm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midnight |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**3.1.4. Presentations about Learning Strategies by Peer Tutors**

Student tutors from the Quality Enhancement Program (QEP) will be invited to give 5-minute presentations to students in the treatment sections about the effective learning strategies they used for learning math when they took the course. Those student tutors are high-achieving students who have taken the same math course at NC A&T in a previous semester. The goal is to get current students in the treatment sections recognize and appreciate the importance of learning strategies for success in math. Since it is well-known that students tend to get more influenced by their peers, we expect that these peer presentations will significantly improve students’ utilization of learning strategies. We will coordinate with the QEP program director to invite and schedule student tutors to give the presentations.

**3.2. Student Learning Outcomes**

Table 4 describes how the integration of learning-strategy instruction in each of the five math courses maps to students’ course learning objectives and expected learning-strategy outcomes.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 4. Integration of learning-strategy instruction in math courses and expected outcomes.** | | | | |
| **Course Topic(s) (Module)** | **Learning Objective** | **Integrated Learning Strategy** | **Integration Method(s)** | **Expected Outcomes** |
| **MATH103: College Algebra I** | | | | |
| * Algebra Essentials * Rational Expressions, Radicals, Linear and Quadratic Equations, and Complex Numbers | Students will apply quantitative and mathematical reasoning skills in examining, evaluating, and solving problems involving order of operation, factoring, solving equations, and functions. | **Metacognitive** (Self-Testing) | In- and out-of-class self-test quizzes | Students will learn to **use self-testing to assess** their understanding of how to simplify, factor, and perform basic operations on algebraic expressions, including polynomials, rational and radical expressions, and complex fractions/numbers. |
| **Metacognitive** (Adaptation of Learning Approach) | Class presentations/  discussions | Students will learn to **choose the most direct/efficient method** to solve linear, absolute value, rational, radical, and quadratic equations by symbolic methods. |
| * Equations and Inequalities | Students will evaluate quantitative information using a variety of methods. | **Metacognitive** (Self Testing) | In- and out-of-class self-test quizzes | Students will be able to **self-assess** their comprehension of how to solve various types of equations and inequalities. |
| * Graphs and Functions | Students will organize, analyze, present, and communicate quantitative information in diverse ways. | **Cognitive** (Elaboration) | Class discussions/  discussion-board assignments | Students will learn to **use elaboration to identify, summarize, and connect between** key features of a graph (intercept; slope; intervals where function is increasing/decreasing/+/-; relative max/min; symmetry; and end behavior). |
| **MATH104: College Algebra II** | | | | |
| * Polynomials * Exponential and Logarithmic Functions | Students will apply mathematical reasoning skills to examine, evaluate, and solve problems about polynomials, and exponential and logarithmic functions. | **Metacognitive** (Self-Testing) | In- and out-of-class self-test quizzes | Students will learn to **self-evaluate their competence to work with** polynomial, exponential, and logarithmic functions. |
| **Metacognitive** (Adaptation of Learning Approach) | Class presentations/  discussions | Students will learn to **modify their solution approaches to efficiently** find the zeroes of polynomial, exponential, and logarithmic functions. |
| **Cognitive** (Elaboration) | Class discussions/  discussion-board assignments | Students will use **elaboration to distinguish** between the characteristics of polynomial, exponential and logarithmic functions/ graphs; and use **paraphrasing** to write their own interpretations of these functions/graphs. |
| * Systems of Equations and Matrices | Students will organize and evaluate quantitative information using a variety of methods. | **Metacognitive** (Self-Testing) | In- and out-of-class self-test quizzes | Students will learn to **self-test** their ability to work with systems of equations and matrices. |
| **Metacognitive** (Adaptation of Learning Approach) | Class presentations/  discussions | Students will learn to **choose an efficient method** (e.g., elimination, substitution, etc.) to solve linear equations in 2 or 3 variables as well as nonlinear systems of eqs. |
| * Trigonometric Functions * Trigonometric Identities and Their Applications | Students will apply mathematical reasoning skills to examine, evaluate, and solve problems about trigonometric functions and learn how to apply these functions in different situations. | **Cognitive** (Elaboration) | Class discussions/  discussion-board assignments | Students will use elaboration to **summarize** the features of trigonometric functions and identities; **identify** trigonometric functions by right triangle for any angle; and **categorize** the various trigonometric identities (identities of co-functions, identities of negative angles, etc.). |
| **MATH131: Calculus I** | | | | |
| * Functions | Students will accurately communicate mathematical information in graphical, verbal, or equation forms. | **Cognitive** (Elaboration) | Class discussions/  discussion-board assignments | Students will learn to **use elaboration** (summarization and paraphrasing) to enhance their conception of the mathematical notation for various sets of real numbers, and the descriptions of lines, circles, and other basic sets in the coordinate plane. |
| * Limits | Students will develop mathematical skills to formulate the instantaneous rate of change of a function. | **Metacognitive** (Adaptation of Learning Approach) | Class presentations/  discussions | Students will learn to **choose and adapt** an efficient way (graphical, numerical, or algebraic) to evaluate limits of different kinds of functions. |
| **Metacognitive** (Self-Testing) | In- and out-of-class self-test quizzes | Students will **self-assess** their understanding of the concept of continuity and their ability to examine the continuity of functions at a point or on an interval. |
| * Derivatives | Students will learn the definition of the derivative and be fluent with the concept of slope of a tangent line and instantaneous rate of change of a function. | **Cognitive** (Elaboration) | Class discussions/ discussion-board assignments | Students will use **paraphrasing** to make their own intuitive interpretation of derivatives and will learn to use **summarization** to organize the derivative rules and **connect** these rules with their applications. |
| **Metacognitive** (Adaptation of Learning Approach) | Class presentations/  discussions | Students will learn to **adapt** their approach when computing derivatives of different kinds of functions via introducing them to the different ways in which derivatives arise. |
| * Integration | Students will learn the connection between derivatives and integrations. | **Metacognitive** (Self-Testing) | In- and out-of-class self-test quizzes | Students will **self-evaluate** their ability to apply integration techniques to compute areas under curves, surface areas, and volumes. |
| **Cognitive** (Elaboration) | Class discussions/ discussion-board assignments | Students will **use elaboration** to draw meaningful connections between derivatives and integrations. |
| **MATH132: Calculus II** | | | | |
| * Techniques and Applications of Integration | Students will accurately evaluate integrals using a variety of methods. | **Metacognitive**  (Self-Testing) | In- and out-of-class self-test quizzes | Students will **practice self-testing** to evaluate and enhance their understanding of basic techniques for evaluating integrals (e.g., slice-and-sum strategy) and geometric applications of integration. |
| **Metacognitive** (Adaptation of Learning Approach) | Class presentations/  discussions | Students will learn to **select and adapt** the most efficient/ appropriate method to evaluate any given integral. |
| * Sequences and Infinite Series * Power Series | Students will tell the distinction between a sequence and an infinite series, apply quantitative and mathematical reasoning to solve problems using series. | **Cognitive** (Elaboration) | Class discussions/  discussion-board assignments | Students will **use elaboration** to **distinguish** between sequences and series, to **summarize and group** the different methods for evaluating limits of sequences and determining convergence of series, and to **connect** betw. concepts of limits and convergence. |
| **Metacognitive** (Self-Testing) | In- and out-of-class self-test quizzes | Students will **practice self-testing** to assess and consolidate their mastery of power series and their properties and applications for approximating functions. |
| * Parametric and Polar Curves | Students will efficiently communicate quantitative or mathematical information in graphical or equation forms. | **Metacognitive** (Adaptation of Learning Approach) | Class presentations/  discussions | Students will learn to **adapt their learning approach** via introducing them to the various alternative ways for generating curves and representing functions. |
| **MATH224: Introduction to Probability & Statistics** | | | | |
| * Descriptive Statistics (Graphical & Numerical Summaries of Data) | Students will learn to explore and summarize data using various graphical techniques, and to compute summary statistics for describing central tendency, variability, and associations. | **Metacognitive** (Adaptation of Learning Approach) | Class presentations/  discussions | Students will learn to **select and adapt** the most relevant graphical display (histogram, stem-and-leaf plot, box plot, bar chart, or scatterplot) to visualize the given data, and the most relevant set of numerical summaries (e.g., mean and standard deviation vs median and quartiles) to summarize the given data. |
| * Probability Rules & Probability Models | Students will use the basic laws of probability to estimate the probability of events and their unions, intersections, and complements. | **Metacognitive** (Self-Testing) | In- and out-of-class self-test quizzes | Students will learn to **self-test and self-evaluate** their comprehension of the basic probability laws as they are applied to estimating probabilities of events arising from daily life situations. |
| Students will learn to use discrete and continuous probability models to describe the long-run behavior of random phenomena. | **Cognitive** (Elaboration) | Class discussions/  discussion-board assignments | Students will learn to **summarize** the behavior of random variables using probability models, use **paraphrasing** to write their own definition of probability models, and **draw connections** between the requirements of probability models and the basic probability laws learned earlier in the module. |
| * Inference About One Population | Students will use confidence intervals to estimate population means and proportions. | **Metacognitive** (Self-Testing) | In- and out-of-class self-test quizzes | Students will learn to **self-test and self-evaluate** their understanding of the construction of confidence intervals and the statistical interpretation of confidence. |
| Students will perform and interpret statistical tests of significance to test claims about one population mean or proportion. | **Metacognitive** (Adaptation of Learning Approach) | Class presentations/  discussions | Students will learn to **choose** the appropriate statistical formulation of hypotheses that fits the specific research question about the population mean or proportion. |
| * Inference for Comparing Two populations | Students will use confidence intervals and hypothesis tests to compare two population means or proportions. | **Metacognitive** (Adaptation of Learning Approach) | Class presentations/  discussions | Students will learn to **choose** the appropriate statistical test for the given hypothesis testing scenario (e.g., paired vs independent samples). |
| **Cognitive** (Elaboration) | Class discussions/  discussion-board assignments | Students will **draw connections** between the significance of a statistical test and the concept of confidence intervals. They will also **distinguish** between statistical and practical significance. |

**3.3. Grade Allocation for Project-Related Activities**

As presented in the previous section, the integration of learning-strategy instruction in the math courses will require students to engage in several class discussions and discussion board assignments about learning strategies. Additionally, the evaluation of the effectiveness of project activities and the research component of the project requires collection of students data at the beginning and end of the course. Table 5 provides a guide for assigning course grades to these project-related activities as incentives to ensure reasonable engagement and participation from students in these activities.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 5. Incentives for students’ participation in project-related activities.** | | |
| **Project-related Activity** | **Grade Category** | **Notes** |
| Diagnostic pre-test | Participation | 1 participation |
| Pre- and post-surveys | Participation | 1 participation for pre-survey and 1 participation for post-survey |
| Discussion board | Participation | 1 participation each (full credit for submission)   * Graduate Assistant will create summary of main points in students’ posts * Instructor will read some of the posts and the summary of main points to prepare for the follow-up class discussion |
| Diagnostic post-test | Final Exam/Quiz | * MATH103/104: it counts as final exam * MATH131/132/224: it counts as comprehensive quiz (possibly with higher weight than other topic-specific quizzes). |

**3.4. Example Course Schedule Integrating Learning-Strategy Instruction**

The following table shows a sample course schedule of MATH131 in Spring 2022, to illustrate how to integrate learning-strategy instruction within the MATH course. All project-related activities are in blue font. Instructors are free to modify and adapt the table based on their own course schedule. However, to enable comparisons among courses and sections, all instructors need to cover the same number of activities as described in this sample course schedule. While the timing of activities can be slightly altered depending on the course needs, it is important that these activities take place as early as possible in the semester so that students are given the time to adopt the learning strategies in their courses early on.

| **Table 6. Sample course schedule integrating learning-strategy instruction activities.** | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Date** |  | **Subject, Exam** | **Activity** | **Due Date** |
| **Week 1** |  | Discussion Board #1: Self-Introduction |  |  |
| Jan.10 | M | Syllabus  Announce PRE-TEST & MATH Surveys  Review of Inequalities | Module 1.1 |  |
| Jan.11 | T | Graphs and equations | Module 1.2 |  |
| Jan.12 | W | Functions | Module 2.1 |  |
| Jan.14 | F | Functions  Math Surveys (Qualtrics) |  | **HW1;** DB#1 Post |
| Jan. 16 | Su | See **Due Date** |  | DB#1 Response; PRE-TEST |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Week 2** |  | Discussion Board #2: What do top students do differently? |  |  |
| Jan.17 | M | **Martin Luther King** |  |  |
| Jan.18 | T | Functions | Module 2.1 |  |
| Jan.19 | W | Inverse, expo, and log functions | Module 2.2 |  |
| Jan.21 | F | Inverse, expo, and log functions | Module 2.2 | **HW2;** DB#2 Post |
| Jan. 23 | Su | See **Due Date** |  | DB#2 Response |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Week 3** |  | Discussion Board #3: Learning Strategies |  |  |
|  |  | In-Class Discussion on DB#2 |  |  |
| Jan.24 | M | Trig functions and their inverses | Module 2.3 |  |
| Jan.25 | T | Trig functions and their inverses | Module 3.1 |  |
| Jan.26 | W | Intro and definitions of limits | Module 3.1 |  |
| Jan.28 | F | Techniques for computing limits | Module 3.2 | **HW3;** DB#3 Post |
| Jan. 30 | Su | See **Due Date** |  | DB#3 Response |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Week 4** |  | Discussion Board and Reflection: Time Management |  |  |
|  |  | First In-Class Discussion on DB#3 |  |  |
| Jan.31 | M | Infinite limits and limits at infinity | Module 4.1 |  |
| Feb.01 | T | Precise definitions of limits (optional) | Module 4.1 |  |
|  |  | Second In-Class Discussion on DB#3 |  |  |
| Feb.02 | W | Continuity | Module 4.2 |  |
| Feb.04 | F | Continuity | Module 4.2 | **HW4;** DB#4 Post |
| Feb. 06 | Su | See **Due Date** |  | DB#4 Response |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Week 5** |  | Discussion Board and Reflection: Test-Taking Strategies |  |  |
|  |  | In-Class Discussion on DB#4 |  |  |
| Feb.07 | M | TEST REVIEW |  |  |
| Feb.08 | T | Introducing the derivatives | Module 5.1 |  |
| Feb.09 | W | Basic differentiation rules and rate of change | Module 5.2 |  |
| Feb.11 | F | **TEST 1** |  | **HW5;** DB#5 Post |
| Feb. 13 | Su | See **Due Date** |  | DB#5 Response |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Week 6** |  | In-Class Discussion on DB#5 |  |  |
| Feb.14 | M | The Product and Quotient rules | Module 5.2 |  |
| Feb.15 | T | The Product and Quotient rules | Module 5.3 |  |
| Feb.16 | W | The Chain rule | Module 5.3 |  |
| Feb.18 | F | The Chain rule | Module 6.1 | **HW6** |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Week 7 – Week 16** |  | Normal Class Schedule |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Week 17** |  |  |  |  |
| May 02 | M | Announce POST-TEST & MATH Surveys |  |  |
| May 03 | T | REVIEW |  |  |
| May 04 | W | REVIEW  Math Surveys (Qualtrics) |  | POST-TEST |
| May 06 | F | **No Class** |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | **Final Exam** |  |  |

**Chapter 4**

**Surveys and Assessments**

Data collection is a major part of this Broadening Participation Research project to enable the associated research study and guide the process of improving the implementation of learning-strategies instruction in the targeted MATH courses.

Multiple types of students’ data will be collected from students in the control and treatment sections.

* + The first type is data collected directly from students through **Qualtrics surveys and diagnostic tests** given at the beginning and the end of the semester.
  + Students course performance data in the form of students’ **final course grades** from the instructors’ gradebooks.
  + Qualitative data collected from students through **focus groups**.

**4.1. Qualtrics Pre- and Post-Surveys**

All surveys will be administered using the survey software Qualtrics. Survey invitations will be sent to students directly by Dr. Mostafa through Qualtrics. To link students’ data from multiple sources, survey invitations will be sent using unique students’ usernames. Instructors will download the list of students’ names (first and last names) and usernames (the part of email address before @aggies.ncat.edu) from Blackboard or from course rosters in Aggie Access Online and share the list for each section with Dr. Mostafa in a .csv file. The lists of usernames will be used to generate unique survey invitations in Qualtrics. For the sake of assigning participation points for students who complete the surveys, Dr. Mostafa will provide instructors with lists of survey completers.

The following two Qualtrics surveys will be administered at the beginning and end of semester in both control and treatment sections.

**4.1.1. Identity Survey**

This survey collects data about various students’ identities including gender, racial and math identities. Most items are Likert scale type items, and the pilot study shows that it takes about 10 to 15 minutes to complete the survey.

**4.1.2. Math Mindset and Self-Regulated Learning Survey**

This survey collects data about students’ math mindset and self-regulated learning (SRL). The math mindset items contain both positive (e.g., “People can change how well they perform in math”) and negative (e.g., “Some people just get math and others do not and never will”) items which are measured on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The 28 SRL items are divided into three subscales measuring three categories of SRL strategies: managing environment and behavior (e.g., “I make a schedule to help me organize my study time”), seeking and learning information (e.g., “I make pictures or drawings to help me learn math concepts”), and maladaptive regulatory behaviors (e.g., “I try to forget about the topics I have trouble learning”). The SRL items use 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (almost never) to 5 (almost always). The pilot study shows that it takes about 8 to 10 minutes to complete the entire survey.

**4.2. Diagnostic Pre- and Post-Tests**

Diagnostic pre- and post-tests, developed from well-established concept inventories or in previous Broadening Participation Research projects, will be used to assess students’ learning gains in control and treatment sections of the five target math courses. The same pre- and post-tests will be used in control and treatment sections. For the two College Algebra courses (MATH103 and 104), both pre-test and post-test are completed in Pearson and proctored by the course instructor in the computer lab. The pre-test counts as 1 regular participation assignment and the post-test counts as the course final exam for these two courses. For the Calculus (MATH131 and 132) and Statistics (MATH224) courses, both the pre-test and post-test are completed in Blackboard outside of class using Respondus Lockdown Browser with Webcam. For these courses, the pre-test counts as 1 regular participation assignment and the post-test counts as a comprehensive quiz (possibly with higher weight than other quizzes and graded based on completeness) that helps students review for their final exam. The pre-test will take place during first week of semester (due by Sunday before second week starts) and post-test will take place during pre-finals week for MATH131/132/224 and during the final exam week for MATH103/104.

**4.3. Focus Groups**

A subset of the study participants (students) will be recruited to participate in focus group interviews. First round of focus group interviews will be conducted around the fourth week of Fall 2022 semester with subset of students from the control/treatment sections of Spring 2022. In this round, there will be 10 focus groups of up to five participants each. The focus groups will be administered by a trained researcher. Participants will be asked questions about their experiences in their math courses, specifically: (1) what strategies they used to pass the course; (2) beliefs about their math growth mindset; (3) if and how they used SRL and; (4) their social identities. This focus groups design will continue for four rounds (Round 2 in Spring 2023, Round 3 in Fall 2023, and Round 4 in Spring 2024). Instructors will help with recruiting the focus group participants.

**Chapter 5**

**Faculty Professional Development**

**5.1. Learning-Strategy Instruction Workshop**

**5.2. Learning-Strategy Instruction Certification**

**Frequently Asked Questions**

**To be developed based on Q/A from professional development sessions and surveys.**